

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-2508

ROY H. BURCHER, JR.; ALFRED W. HAUSER; JUNE
BURCHER; VIRGINIA CASH; RANDOLPH M. CASH;
SARAH M. BOYCE; KATHERINE T. WELLS; FENLER W.
MCMILLAN; LUCILLE R. MCMILLAN; ROBERT HUDGINS;
BILLY P. FORBES; DAISY FORBES; JAMES HICKS,
JR.; WILLIAM ALLAN JOHNSON; AUBREY T. FERGUSON;
WAYNE FERGUSON; BEVERLY FERGUSON MOORE;
CATHERINE C.F. PINNELL; FLO S. KING; BETTY
JEAN COOK; CHARLES A. COOK; GEORGE COOK, JR.;
GWEN COOK; WILLIAM T. GREEN; WILLIAM C. GREEN,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

and

GEORGE H. THEMIDES; KATHERINE K. THEMIDES; L.
THOMAS KNIGHT; DOROTHY F. COWAN; GEORGE COOK;
JOSEPH L.R. PINNARD; ODELLE OTTOFARO; ELAINE
H. CIANNVEI; MARY F. WORLEY; GLORIA KNIGHT,

Plaintiffs,

versus

JAMES C. MCCAULEY; MICHAEL RIGSBY; EUGENE L.
REAGAN; VIRGINIA STATE BAR; HARRY L. CARRICO;
A. CHRISTIAN COMPTON; ROSCOE BOLAN STEPHENSON,
JR.; HENRY H. WHITING; ELIZABETH B. LACY;
LEROY ROUNDTREE HASSELL; UNKNOWN CONSPIRATORS;
BARBARA MILANO KEENAN,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Richmond. Robert R. Merhige, Jr., Senior
District Judge. (CA-94-328)

Submitted: August 6, 1996

Decided: August 14, 1996

Before MURNAGHAN, HAMILTON, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Roy H. Burcher, Jr., Alfred W. Hauser, June Burcher, Virginia Cash, Randolph M. Cash, Sarah M. Boyce, Katherine T. Wells, Fenler W. McMillan, Lucille R. McMillan, Robert Hudgins, Billy P. Forbes, Daisy Forbes, James Hicks, Jr., William Allan Johnson, Aubrey T. Ferguson, Wayne Ferguson, Beverly Ferguson Moore, Catherine C.F. Pinnell, Flo S. King, Betty Jean Cook, Charles A. Cook, George Cook, Jr., Gwen Cook, William T. Green, William C. Green, Appellants Pro Se. Lee Melchor Turlington, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellants appeal from the district court's order denying relief on their 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, 1986, 1988 (1988), and 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962, 1964 (1988) complaint as to Appellee Virginia State Bar. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Burcher v. McCauley, No. CA-94-328 (E.D. Va. Oct. 28, 1994); see Equipment Finance Group, Inc. v. Traverse Computer Brokers, 973 F.2d 345 (4th Cir. 1992) (discussing appellate review of premature appeals under the doctrine of cumulative finality).^{*} We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} We note that Appellants' failure to note an appeal of the district court's December 21, 1994, order deprives us of jurisdiction to consider that order.